UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,358	06/15/2005	Franciscus L. A. J. Kamperman	NL 021452	7369
	LIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			DEGA, MURALI K	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOK, NY 10510		DEGA, MURALI K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,358	10/539,358 KAMPERMAN ET A	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MURALI DEGA	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this contained by the second state of the	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 19-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 19-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the description and a content of the description is objected to by the file. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

- 1. This Office action responds to the Notice of appeal filed on 29 June 2010.
- 2. Claims 1-14 and 19-24 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-14 and 19-24 have been examined.
- 4. This office action is in response to the Pre-Appeal Request filed June 29, 2010. The USPTO mailed a Pre-Appeal Decision on August 31, 2010 indicating reopening of prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 6 recites "The method of claim 1, including creating a domain of a plurality of devices of the set of devices that are related to a household or limited group of consumers". The claim is indefinite because it is not clear to one of ordinary skill in the art as to how the method of claim 1 is creating "a domain of a plurality of devices ...".

 The method of claim 1 lists the method steps of a) associating usage rights with content item", b) decomposing usage rights, c) digitally signing the decomposed rights and d)

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

providing content item and the rights to a client system. It is not clear if the language is deficient or the Applicant is claiming grouping of devices of the client system recited in claim 1 or in the alternative, the Applicant is using the terms "domain" and "user" interchangeably.

8. Claim 10 recites "sign at least one partial right of the set of signed partial rights to crate at least one other signed partial right". The claim is indefinite because it is not clear if the claim language is meant to recite signing the already signed partial right "a second time" by the "machine of the provider" as recited in claim 1 from which claim 10 depends or the signed partial right is signed for the second time by "a device at a client site".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards et al. (WO 01/63387) in view of Okamoto et al. (US 2004/0054678) further in view of Jaalinoja et Al. (US 2003/0014315).
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 16 and 18:
- 4. Richards discloses a method of controlling access ("control and/or enforce use rights and access rights", ¶ [0004]) to a content item ("Content", ¶¶ [0039] and [0137]) in

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

a system comprising a set of devices ("a user/subscriber/apparatus 42", ¶ [0060] and Fig. 1 item 42)

- a. Associating, by a provider ("provider of the data", \P [003]) of a content item, at least one usage right ("use right", Abstract and \P [0006]) with the content item ("Content", \P [0039] and [0137])
- b. Decomposing, by the provider, the usage right into a set of partial rights ("also allows an owner of the data to define rules for rendering, accessing, and using the encoded data.", \P [0026])
- c. separately signing, by a machine of provider, each partial right of the set of partial rights ("Such rules can be a part of an encoding scheme.", \P [0026]) resulting in a corresponding set of signed partial rights.
- d. Richards discloses a policy component that define recipient's access rights to the data, such as the rights to "read/write", "save encoded", "save open", "no save", "server keyed", "render 1", "render 2", "Age 1", "Age 2", and "Use", etc. (¶¶ [0026] and [0068]) but does not explicitly disclose naming the separate rights as "partial rights".
- e. However, Okamoto teaches a "partial right" that is a subset of a right range.
- f. Therefore it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the secure content distribution service to a group of devices in a domain as disclosed by Richards with the system of a distribution device storing a group of digital contents with right management

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

information to facilitate "partial right" distribution as taught by Okamoto for the purposes of user convenience, fair distribution and cost effectiveness, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

- g. Richards discloses authentication using existing standards for authentication such as a digital signature method or a public/private key exchange (¶ [0096]) as part of an encoded scheme for transfer of content between devices, but does not explicitly disclose each one being separately signed.
- h. However, Jaalinoja teaches using individually signed tokens and transmitted to the user then the token is presented to activate the program where the program verifies the signature and the right associated with the token such as validity period, which allows the user to use the program for the specified period. ([0116] and [0117])
- i. Therefore it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the secure content distribution service to a group of devices in a domain as disclosed by Richards with the system of Jaalinoja, for the purpose of added security and prevent unauthorized content access, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

j. Providing, by the machine, the content item and the set of signed partial rights to a device ("Television or desktop or Laptop", Item 42 of Fig. 1) of a set of devices as a client system (Item 42 of Fig. 1).

- 5. With respect to claim 2:
- 6. Richards discloses wherein at least one device in the set of devices is able to access ("a remote access device", ¶ [0060]) and exercise at least one partial right of the set of signed partial rights ("incorporating at least one encoded use right", Abstract) after verification of the signed partial right.
- 7. With respect to claim 3:
- 8. Richards discloses wherein one partial right of the set of signed partial rights associated with the content item comprises one of a render right, a transfer right, an offer right, a derivative work right, and a utility right ("rendering the decoded data type in accordance with the decoded use right", ¶ [0018])
- 9. With respect to claim 4:
- 10. Richards discloses wherein at least one partial right of the set of signed partial rights can only be exercised a limited number of times ("The "use" element defines the number of times that the data may be accessed or used", ¶ [0068]).
- 11. With respect to claim 5:
- 12. Richards discloses including verifying ("the remote apparatus determines whether the password or signature is valid in block 212", ¶ [0120]) whether the at least

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

one partial right and an authorization of the provider have both not been revoked before exercising the at least one partial right.

- 13. With respect to claim 6:
- 14. Richards discloses including creating a domain of a plurality of devices of the set of devices that are related to a household or limited group of consumers (Fig. 1, item 42).
- 15. With respect to claims 7 and 8:
- 16. Richards discloses wherein a required protection level of at least one partial right of the set of partial rights is indicated along with the at least one partial right and derived implicitly from a type of the at least one partial right ("A composite file header is generated for the complete encoded file and for individual data types and is comprised of the pointers to each individual encoded data type and information that allows the process to control its logic and encode level. The logic and level flow information defines what key or key set are used to decode and how the decoding process occurs", ¶¶ [0151], [0072], [0092] and [0120]).
- 17. With respect to claims 9 and 10:
- 18. Richards discloses including allowing the device, to identify a different device, sign information that includes at least one partial right of the set of partial rights and at least one of: an identification of the domain, an identification of the different device, and information about length and validity of the partial right to create a signed information item, and transfer this signed information item to the different device ("the persistent data control application passes the unique machine identifier to the secure server where

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

the machine identifier is in the registry database and is used as one of the encode/decode keys for that specific remote apparatus", ¶ [0090]).

- 19. With respect to claim 11:
- 20. Richards discloses wherein the different device is a member of a different domain (Fig. 1, Item 42 and item 52).
- 21. With respect to claim 12:
- 22. Richards discloses including verifying, by the device, that the different device is at least one of being compliant and being non revoked ("remote apparatus retrieves the unique machine identifier, e.g., manufacturer's serial number, of that apparatus and uses it as one of the decode/encode keys. If the decode is successful, the apparatus has been validated", ¶ [0089]).
- 23. With respect to claim 14:
- 24. Richards discloses wherein the usage right comprises an offer right (for a specific right) and the method including requesting the provider to execute the offer right and deliver the specific right to a specified third party, delivering, by the provider, the specific right directly to the specified third party ("authentication methods", ¶¶ [0048]-[0052]).
- 25. With respect to claims 20 and 22:
- 26. Richards discloses a client system (Fig. 1, item 42) comprising: a plurality of devices ("desktop, laptop, television", item 44 of Fig. 1) that are interconnected via a network; wherein at least one device includes: a memory that is configured to receive a content item and a set of signed partial rights from a server system, a digital rights module that is configured to: receive a request for access to the content item from an

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

other device on the network, identify a partial right of the set of signed partial rights corresponding to the request, verify the partial right, and grant the request for access based on verification of the partial right ("At least one of the control fields incorporates the persistent database structure control policy that controls use and/or access rights of a recipient", ¶ [0008]).

- 27. With respect to claim 24:
- 28. Richards discloses a server system (Item 52, Fig. 1)comprising: a memory that is configured to store a content item and a usage right associated with the content item, a digital signing element that is configured to decompose the usage right into a set of partial rights and sign each partial right to create a set of signed partial rights, and a transfer element that is configured to transfer the content item and the set of signed partial rights to a client system.
- 29. Claims 13, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards, Okamoto and Jaalinoja as described above and further in view of Lambert (US 7,509,421).
- 30. With respect to claims 13, 21 and 23:
- 31. Richards discloses a policy component that define recipient's access rights to the data, such as the rights to "read/write", "save encoded", "save open", "no save", "server keyed", "render 1", "render 2", "Age 1", "Age 2", and "Use", etc. (¶¶ [0026] and [0068]) but does not explicitly disclose deleting or revoking the rights.

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

32. However Lambert teaches rights being revoked ("being able to revoke those rights", C. 18, II. 26-28)

33. Therefore it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the secure content distribution service to a group of devices in a domain as disclosed by Richards with the digital rights management system capable of revoking the content rights as taught by Lambert for the purposes of added security and prevention of illegal use, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

34. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 35. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 36. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 37. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MURALI K. DEGA whose telephone number is (571)270-5394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7.30 to 4.00 ET.
- 38. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on (571)272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 39. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Murali K. Dega/ Art Unit # 3621 May 07, 2010

Art Unit: 3621 Paper No: 20110225

/EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621